Ron Fagin and Acyclic Hypergraphs


Why Hypergraphs?
Interesting Properties
Fagin's Hierarchy

Jeffrey D. Ullman
Stanford University




Hypergraphs

- Nodes + *(hyper)edges* that are sets of any number of nodes.




Hypergraphs as Schemas

- Nodes = attributes.
- Hyperedges = relation schemas.
- Hypergraph = database schema.



= {ABC, BCD, BDE, DEF}

Hypergraphs as Natural Joins

- Nodes = attributes.
- Edges = schemas of relations being joined.
  - Any equijoin can be so represented if we rename equated attributes from different relations.
- 

= ABC⋈ BCD ⋈ BDE ⋈ DEF


Initial Study of Acyclic Hypergraphs for Database Systems

- Beeri, Fagin, Maier, Mendelzon, U, Yannakakis (STOC, 1981) looked at hypergraphs primarily as database schemas.
- At that time, the "universal-relation wars" were raging.
  - Could you ask queries about attributes only and allow the system to figure out the proper join to connect these attributes?
- Identified a class of schemas ("*acyclic*") with certain properties that made sense as a universal relation.

The GYO Test for Acyclicity

- It turns out there is a simple way to tell whether a hypergraph is acyclic, so we won't bother with the original definition.
- Due to Graham and Yu-Oszoyoglu independently.
- "Reduce" the hypergraph using the following two rules:
  - Eliminate a node in only one hyperedge.
  - Eliminate a hyperedge contained in another.
- If you get down to one empty edge, then the hypergraph is acyclic.

Example: GYO Reduction



Semijoin Reductions

- Previously, Phil Bernstein and his students Chiu, Goodman, and Shmueli had looked at a seemingly unrelated question: when does a join have a *full reducer*?
  - = finite sequence of semijoins that is guaranteed to eliminate from the relations all tuples that dangle in the complete join.

Local and Global Consistency

- A related formulation: when does *local consistency*
  - = the join of any two relations has no dangling tuples
- imply *global consistency*
  - = there are no dangling tuples in any relation when the join of all the relations is taken.
- It turns out "exists a full reducer" = "local consistency implies global consistency" = "acyclic."

Example: Local/Global Consistency

A	B
0	1
3	4
6	7

B	C
1	2
4	5
7	8

C	A
2	3
5	6
8	0


These three relations are locally consistent.
But the join of all three relations is empty.
Hence not globally consistent.

Example: Semijoin Reduction

A	B
0	1
3	4
6	7

B	C
1	2
4	5
7	8

C	A
2	3
5	6
8	9



Now, semijoin reduction will make each relation empty.
But the number of steps needed depends on the number of tuples.

1. AB $\ltimes$ CA eliminates only (0,1).
2. Then BC $\ltimes$ AB eliminates only (1,2).
3. And so on…


Monotone Joins


- A join of two relations is *monotone* if it has no dangling tuples.
- Important consequence: the output of a monotone join is at least as large each of its arguments.
  - If implemented properly, the time taken by the join is proportional to input size + output size.
- Note: "local consistency" = "joins of two database relations are monotone," but "monotone" applies to intermediate joins also.

Bernstein et al. View of Acyclicity


- This line of research had a very different view of the condition under which full reducers exist (and under which local consistency = global consistency).
- If and only if you can build a tree with:
  - Nodes = relation schemas.
  - For every attribute, the set of nodes containing that attribute is connected.


Example: Tree View of Acyclicity







Example: Tree View of Acyclicity







Example: Tree View of Acyclicity





Example: A Cyclic Join





By symmetry, all trees look like this.
Notice A is at disconnected nodes.


Theorem


- From Beeri, Fagin, Maier, and Yannakakis (J. ACM, 1983).
- A hypergraph is acyclic if and only if its hyperedges form a tree whose nodes containing any given attribute are connected.
- Therefore, acyclic hypergraphs, and only acyclic hypergraphs, have:
  1. Full reducers.
  2. Local consistency = global consistency.
  3. Local consistency => monotone join sequences guaranteed to exist.

Aside: Tree Width

- While the tree-based definition of acyclicity is generally less convenient to use than the GYO definition, it yielded an important generalization.
- *Tree width* = maximum number of *elements* (= relation schema or attribute) at a tree node, where all attributes are in connected set of nodes.
- Finite tree width yields several useful properties shared with acyclic hypergraphs.

Example: Tree Width






Now, the A's are at a connected set of nodes, and the tree width = 2, since the root has two members.

The Fagin Hierarchy

- In his seminal paper "Degrees of Acyclicity for Hypergraphs and Relational Database Schemes" (J. ACM, 1983), Ron defined four different notions of acyclicity.
- Berge acyclicity, and γ-, β-, and α–acyclicity.
- α-acyclic = what we have been calling "acyclic."

The Berge View of Acyclicity

- In the leading graph-theory text of the time, Berge defined a cycle in a hypergraph to be a sequence of distinct nodes $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ such that there are distinct hyperedges containing each consecutive pair of nodes in the end-around sense: $\{n_1, n_2\}, \{n_2, n_3\}, \ldots, \{n_k, n_1\}$.
- Exactly what you want for (ordinary) graphs.
- But weird for hypergraphs.
- Example: 

has a cycle B, C.


Other Notions of Acyclicity

- The other three notions of acyclicity each have many equivalent definitions and properties.
- One simple hierarchy of distinctions is (assuming the relations are locally consistent):
  - α-acyclic = the join of all the relations in the hypergraph has a sequence of monotone joins.
  - β-acyclic = the join of any connected subset of the relations has a sequence of monotone joins.
  - γ-acyclic = any join sequence for any connected subset of the relations is monotone.


Key Results

1. The four notions of acyclicity are distinct and are contained as follows: Berge acyclic $\subset$ γ-acyclic $\subset$ β-acyclic $\subset$ α-acyclic.
2. Each of the definitions has a polynomial-time test.
3. For each there is an appropriate notion of a "cycle" analogous to that used by Berge.

Example: α-acyclic, Not β-acyclic



α -acyclic.
Remove D, E, F.
Resulting hyperedges are contained in ABC.



But … remove ABC, and the result is an α-cyclic hypergraph.
Hence, original is not β-acyclic .

Concluding Remark

- A former student, Anand Rajaraman, returned for his PhD after founding a startup, Junglee.
- The Junglee folks had developed techniques for examining Web pages and figuring out what data was connected to what.
  - Example: Help-wanted pages. To which job(s) did a location or salary refer?
- Thesis question: what HTML structures allowed Junglee methods to work.
- Answer: the β-acyclic hypergraphs.